arXiv:1510.08745v1 [math.AP] 29 Oct 2015

The hyperbolic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Simão Correia and Mário Figueira

October 30, 2015

Abstract

Consider the hyperbolic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (HNLS) over $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$iu_t + u_{xx} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} u + \lambda |u|^\sigma u = 0.$$

We make some theoretical groundwork regarding the associated initial value problem. We deduce the conservation laws associated with (HNLS) and observe the lack of information given by the conserved quantities. We prove (briefly) local and global existence results in the H^1 framework. In the subsequent sections, we build several classes of particular solutions, including *spatial plane waves* and *spatial standing waves*, which never lie in H^1. Motivated by this, we build suitable functional spaces that include both H^1 solutions and these particular classes, and prove local well-posedness on these spaces. Moreover, we prove a stability result for both spatial plane waves and spatial standing waves with respect to small H^1 perturbations.

Keywords: Hyperbolic nonlinear Schrödinger equation; explicit solutions; local well-posedness; stability.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 35Q55, 35B35, 35B06, 35E99.

1 Introduction

In this work, we shall consider the hyperbolic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} u + \lambda |u|^\sigma u = 0, \tag{HNLS}$$

where

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \sigma < 4/(d-2)^+, \quad u = u(t, x, \mathbf{y}), (x, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ d \geqslant 2$$

(we use the convention: $4/(d-2)^+ = \infty$, if $d = 2$; $4/(d-2)^+ = 4/(d-2)$, $d \geqslant 3$). This is a special case of a larger class of dispersive equations, namely

$$iu_t + Lu + \lambda |u|^\sigma u = 0,$$

with L a second-order differential operator on the spatial variables. In fact, (HNLS) corresponds to the case where the principal part of L has a unique negative direction. It is important to notice that, when all directions are positive, L is elliptic, which includes the well-known nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u + \lambda |u|^\sigma u = 0. \tag{NLS}$$

Physically, (HNLS) is related with deep water waves and plasma physics (for $d = 2$) and nonlinear optics (for $d = 3$); see [17], [5]. In the latter case, t is to be interpreted as the

propagation direction and x is time. Due to its practical relevance, this equation has been analyzed numerically in several papers (see [20], [17]). On the other hand, in a theoretical point of view, one has works on both the linear equation and on some special solutions to (HNLS) (see [8], [9], [13], [14], [10]). However, a qualitative theory is still to be discovered. In fact, even though there is a very complete set of techniques for (NLS), the presence of a negative direction makes most of them unusable, as we shall see later on.

Here, we make some theoretical groundwork on the behaviour of solutions of the initial value problem associated with (HNLS),

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Box u + \lambda|u|^\sigma u = 0, & \Box := \partial_{xx}^2 - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} \\ u(0,x,\mathbf{y}) = u_0(x,\mathbf{y}) & . \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

There is one thing that the reader should keep in mind: most results and techniques presented here are also applicable for the (NLS). In view of this, there are two points in our work that we want to highlight: first, it is important to see which techniques are also available for the (HNLS), so that one has a good starting point for future research; second, the difficulty that arised from (HNLS) impeled us to find properties that have not been investigated in the (NLS) context, and so our results point out new strategies and ways of thinking about these equations.

Now we present briefly the contents of this paper. In the first section, we study the invariances of (HNLS) and deduce the corresponding conservation laws. Contrary to the (NLS) setting, these conservation laws do not give a clear picture of the dynamics of the equation. We make use of this section to present a complete deduction of a "generalized pseudo-conformal" transformation in the case $\sigma = 4/d$. This transformation turns out to relate in a very clear way the usual pseudo-conformal transform and the lens transform ([2], [19]).

In section 3, we focus on the H^1 framework and prove local well-posedness and some global well-posedness results. These techniques are based solely on Strichartz's estimates, which are a common ground to both (HNLS) and (NLS). For this reason, some proofs are ommited.

In section 4, we present a large family of L^∞ (semiclassical) solutions, which present both global and blow-up behaviours (in the L^∞ norm). To do this, one uses the generalized pseudo-conformal transformation applied to solutions of a special nonlinear wave equation.

In section 5, we turn our study to *spatial plane wave* solutions, that is, solutions of the form $u(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = f(t,x-c\cdot\mathbf{y})$. For these solutions, one may also observe global existence and blow-up. Furthermore, we study local well-posedness on spaces that include both spatial plane waves and H^1 solutions and study the stability of these plane waves regarding small H^1 perturbations. To our knowledge, these results are a novelty even in the (NLS) context.

In section 6, we make some considerations on hyperbolically radial solutions. These solutions will be defined on special regions in $\mathbb{R}^d$, over which one may observe several qualitative properties. The key ingredient is a reduction to the radial (NLS) equation, which allows to transfer results to this setting. The extension of such solutions to the whole space is a very difficult question (see remark 17 and [13]).

Finally, in section 7, we consider *spatial standing waves*, that is, solutions of the form $u(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\omega x}\phi(t,\mathbf{y})$. As in the spatial plane wave case, we study local well-posedness on spaces that include both spatial plane waves and H^1 solutions and study the H^1-stability of these spatial standing waves.

Remark 1. A motivation to consider spatial plane waves and spatial standing waves is the fact that, for some models in nonlinear optics (see [17]), the negative direction x actually represents *time* and so these solutions are such that their *time* evolution (in the physical model) is of a specific form. Actually, in this context, the term "spatial" can be a bit misleading, but we introduce it so that there is no confusion with the standard mathematical notion of plane waves

and standing waves. These solutions do not present finite energy in the mathematical sense (see section 2); however, they do have finite energy on the plane Oyz, transverse to the axis of propagation, for each fixed physical time x. Note that such a question is not posed on the (NLS) model, since there is no dependence on the physical time. One could even argue that, since the energy of solutions of (NLS) has no dependence in time, the integration of the energy in the time variable is infinite. Therefore, in the context of nonlinear optics, the finite-energy assumption in both x and $\mathbf{y}$ directions may be too restrictive and should be replaced by a finite-energy condition on the transverse plane. This is supported by the difficulty in finding examples of finite-energy solutions. Note that these solutions do exist, as proved in section 3; however, an explicit example is yet to be found.

2 Invariances and conservation laws

One of the main tools in the development of a qualitative theory for a given equation is to figure out certain spatial quantities whose evolution in time has a very explicit form (in most cases, it turns out that they are, in fact, constant in time). As in (NLS), (HNLS) has a Lagrangian formulation, which enables one to obtain conservation laws from invariances of the equation (which are, usually, more obvious to determine).

Since (HNLS) and (NLS) are quite similar in an algebraic way, one might expect that invariances of (NLS) have a very close-related counterpart in the (HNLS) setting. In fact, one has the following invariances of the (HNLS):

1. Space-time translations: $v(x,\mathbf{y},t) = u(x+x_0,\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{y}_0,t+t_0)$;
2. Gauge invariance; $v(x,\mathbf{y},t) = e^{i\theta}u(x,\mathbf{y},t)$;
3. Galilean invariance: $v(x,\mathbf{y},t) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(ax-\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{y})-\frac{i}{4}(a^2-|\mathbf{b}|^2)t}u(x-at,\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{b}t,t)$;
4. Dilation invariance; $v(x,\mathbf{y},t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}u(\lambda x,\lambda\mathbf{y},\lambda^2 t)$;
5. Hyperbolic invariance ($d=2$): $v(t,x,y) = u(t,x\cosh\alpha + y\sinh\alpha, x\sinh\alpha + y\cosh\alpha)$;

Remark 2. Since one still has the dilation invariance, one may define (as in the (NLS) context) the notion of criticallity: given a Banach space E, one says that σ is E-critical if the dilation invariance leaves the norm of E invariant.

A standard application of Noether's theorem implies the following conservation laws, which may be rigorously justified using the same process as in (NLS):

1. Conservation of energy and linear momentum:
$$\frac{dE(u(t))}{dt} := \frac{d}{dt}\left(\int \frac{|u_x(t)|^2}{2} - \frac{|\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}u(t)|^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma+2}|u(t)|^{\sigma+2}\right) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Im}\int \bar{u}(t)\nabla u(t) = 0;$$
2. Conservation of mass:
$$\frac{d}{dt}\int |u(t)|^2 = 0;$$
3. Center of mass evolution law:
$$\frac{d}{dt}\int x|u(t)|^2 = \operatorname{Im}\int \bar{u}(t)u_x(t),\ \frac{d}{dt}\int y|u(t)|^2 = -\operatorname{Im}\int \bar{u}(t)u_y(t)$$
(notice that the conservation of linear momentum implies that the center of mass evolves linearly);

4. Virial's identity (part I):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Im}\int \bar{u}(t)(xu_x(t)-\mathbf{y}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}u(t))=4E(u_0)+\lambda\left(\frac{2d+4}{\sigma+2}-d\right)\int|u(t)|^{\sigma+2}$$

REMARK 3. Note that the energy gives no direct information on the L^2-norm of the gradient. As a consequence, several techniques that are available for the (NLS) are unusable here. For example, the standard global existence result in the L^2-subcritical case relying on Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality is not applicable.

2.1 Deduction of the generalized pseudo-conformal invariance

In the special case $\sigma = 4/d$, one observes another family of invariances. These invariances will be of importance later on (see sections 3.3 and 4). Here, we present a complete deduction of such a family. This may also shed some light on the corresponding group of invariances for the (NLS).

Given smooth functions $u:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ and $g,a,b,f:[0,T)\to\mathbb{R}$, define

$$v(t,x,\mathbf{y})=u\left(g(t),\frac{x}{b(t)},\frac{\mathbf{y}}{b(t)}\right)\exp\left(\frac{ia(t)(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}\right)f(t) \tag{2.1}$$

A tedious but straighfoward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&iv_t+\Box v+\lambda|v|^{4/d}v\\
=&\Big(i\frac{f}{f'}u-i\frac{b'}{b^2}((x,\mathbf{y})\cdot\nabla u)+iu_sg'-a'\frac{(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}u+b^{-2}\Box u+iab^{-1}((x,\mathbf{y})\cdot\nabla u)\\
+&\frac{iad}{2}u-u\frac{a^2}{4}(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)+\lambda|f|^{4/d}|u|^{4/d}u\Big)\times\exp\left(\frac{ia(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}\right)f
\end{aligned}$$

If we consider the restrictions

$$(i)\ \frac{f'}{f}=-a\frac{d}{2},\quad (ii)\ b'=ab,\quad (iii)\ f(0)=b(0)=1$$

(which imply that $f=b^{-d/2}$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
iv_t+\Box v+\lambda|v|^{4/d}v=\Big(&iu_sg'-\frac{a'+a^2}{4}(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)u+b^{-2}\Box u\\
&+\lambda b^{-2}|u|^{4/d}u\Big)\times\exp\left(\frac{ia(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}\right)f
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we demand that, for some $k\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$(iv)\ g'=b^{-2},\quad (v)\ a'+a^2=4kb^{-4},$$

one arrives to

$$iv_t+\Box v+\lambda|v|^{4/d}v=\Big(iu_s+\Box u+\lambda|u|^{4/d}u-k(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)u\Big)\times b^{-2}\exp\left(\frac{ia(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}\right)f.$$

Therefore v is a solution of (HNLS) if and only if u is a solution of the (HNLS) with an "harmonic" potential

$$iu_s+\Box u+\lambda|u|^{4/d}u-k(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)u=0.$$

Notice that, from (ii) and (v),

$$a'' + 2a'a = -4kb^{-4}\left(4\frac{b'}{b}\right) = -(a' + a^2)4a.$$

Hence

$$a'' + 6aa' + 4a^3 = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

with an initial condition $a(0) = a_0$ and, since $b(0) = 1$, $a'(0) = 4k - a_0^2$. It follows from $(i), (ii), (iii)$ and (iv) that

$$b(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t a(\tau)d\tau\right),\ f(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{2}\int_0^t a(\tau)d\tau\right),$$

$$g(t) = g(0) + \int_0^t \exp\left(-2\int_0^\rho a(\tau)d\tau\right)d\rho. \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that the presence of $g(0)$ induces only a translation in time, which is an invariance we already covered, and so we shall consider

$$(vi)\ g(0) = 0.$$

In conclusion, the transform defined by (2.1) with hypothesis $(i)-(vi)$ is a 2-parameter transform, the *generalized pseudo-conformal transform*, which we denote by $v = \mathcal{T}_{a_0,k}u$. For example, choosing $a_0 = 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we obtain from (2.2)

$$a(t) = \frac{4kt}{4kt^2+1},\ b(t) = (4kt^2+1)^{1/2},\ g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4k}}\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{4k}t),\ f(t) = \frac{1}{(4kt^2+1)^{d/4}}.$$

In the special case $k = 1/4$, the transformation (2.1) reads

$$v(t,x) = \left(\mathcal{T}_{0,1/4}u\right)(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{d/4}}u\left(\tan^{-1}(t), \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\right)e^{i\frac{(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)t}{4(1+t^2)}}$$

which is the version for (HNLS) of the inverse of the lens transform (see [2], [19]).

On the other hand, if $k = 0$ and $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $a' + a^2 = 0$ and so

$$a(t) = \frac{a_0}{1+a_0t},\ b(t) = 1 + a_0t,\ g(t) = \frac{t}{1+a_0t},\ f(t) = (1+a_0t)^{-d/2}.$$

This means that the transformation $v = \mathcal{T}_{a_0,0}u$ is precisely the version for (HNLS) of the usual pseudo-conformal transform. This transform has a major relevance in the qualitative theory for (NLS), one of the reasons being that it gives rise to the Virial identity. In the context of (HNLS), the pseudo-conformal transform induces the conservation law

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int(|x|^2 - |\mathbf{y}|^2)|u(t)|^2 = 4\,\mathrm{Im}\int\bar{u}(t)(xu_x - \mathbf{y}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}u(t))$$

which implies the Virial identity (or "variance" identity)

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}V(u(t)) := \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int(|x|^2 - |\mathbf{y}|^2)|u(t)|^2 = 16E(u_0) + 4\lambda\left(\frac{2d+4}{\sigma+2} - d\right)\int|u(t)|^{\sigma+2}.$$

Remark 4. Similarly to remark 3, note that V is not a definite functional, which overthrowns, for example, the variance blow-up argument for the supercritical focusing equation. It becomes clear that there is a certain "competition" between the x-direction and the $\mathbf{y}$-direction (see [17] for a discussion on this topic). However, it is not clear at all how does this competition evolve in time: such a problem remains open.

3 The H^1 framework: local and global existence

3.1 Local existence

Consider the initial value problem for the linear part of (HNLS),

$$iu_t + u_{xx} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} u = 0, u(0,\cdot) = \phi(\cdot).$$

Applying the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\hat{u(t)}(\xi) = e^{-i(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \ldots - \xi_d^2)t}\hat{\phi}(\xi) \tag{3.1}$$

Since $|\hat{u(t)}(\xi)| = |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|$, we deduce, for $u(t) = U(t)\phi$,

$$\|U(t)\phi\|_{H^s} = \|\phi\|_{H^s},\ s \in \mathbb{R},$$

which means that $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a group of isometries in H^s. Also, in the physical space, (3.1) implies the decay property

$$\|U(t)\phi\|_\infty \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{4\pi|t|}\right)^{d/2} \|\phi\|_1,\ \phi \in L^1$$

and the corresponding interpolation estimate for L^p norms

$$\|U(t)\phi\|_p \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{4\pi|t|}\right)^{d\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \|\phi\|_{p'},\ \phi \in L^{p'},\ 2 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty.$$

Finally, we recall the definiton of admissible pair: we say that the pair (q,r) si admissible if

$$\frac{2}{q} = d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)$$

with $2 \leqslant r \leqslant 2d/(d-2)$ for $d \geqslant 3$ and $2 \leqslant r < \infty$ for $d = 2$.

The following Strichartz's estimates hold in the same way as for the (NLS) (see [3]):

1. For every admissible pair (q,r),

$$\|U(\cdot)\phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R};L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C\|\phi\|_2, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d);$$

2. Given two admissible pairs (q,r) and (γ,ρ) and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\Phi_f(t) := \int_{t_0}^t U(t-s)f(s)ds,\ t \in I, t_o \in \bar{I}.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$, independent on I, such that

$$\|\Phi_f\|_{L^q(I;L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{\gamma'}(I;L^{\rho'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad \forall f \in L^{\gamma'}(I;L^{\rho'}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

3. The Strichartz's estimate for non-admissible pairs also holds (see [REF], pg. 41):

$$\|\Phi_f\|_{L^a(I;L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{\tilde{a}'}(I;L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad \forall f \in L^{\tilde{a}'}(I;L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

where

$$2 < r < 2d/(d-2), \quad 1 < a, \tilde{a} < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}} = d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Since the method introduced by T. Kato [11] to prove H^1 local well-posedness for the (NLS) is based on a fixed point argument that depends solely on the above properties, we can apply it to solve (1.1) (see also [3]):

Theorem 1 ($H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ local well-posedness)**.** *Fix $s = 1, 2$. For every $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $0 \leqslant \sigma < 4/(d-2s)^+$, there exists $T(u_0) > 0$ and a unique solution of (1.1) $u \in C([0, T(u_0)), H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$, which depend continuously on u_0. Also, the blow-up alternative holds in the sense that*

$$\lim_{t \to T(u_0)} \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \infty.$$

3.2 Global existence in the subcritical case

Proposition 2. *Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \sigma < 2, & d = 2 \\ \frac{2}{3} < \sigma < \frac{4}{3}, & d = 3 \end{cases}.$$

Then, for each $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique global solution $u \in C([0,\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,\infty); H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of the initial value problem (1.1).

Proof. Let $u \in C([0, T_{max}); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the maximal solution of (1.1) and consider the Duhamel formula

$$u(t) = U(t)u_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t U(t-s)|u(s)|^\sigma u(s) ds,\ t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

Using the decay estimates (cf. section 2.1) of the free group $U(t) = e^{-i(\partial_{xx} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}})t}$ and Hölder inequality, we obtain, for any $2 < q < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} &\leqslant \|U(t)u_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + |\lambda| \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)}} \||u|^\sigma u\|_{W^{1,q'}} \\ &\leqslant \|U(t)u_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + |\lambda| \int_0^t (t-s)^{-d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \||u|^\sigma\|_{L^r} \|u\|_{W^{1,q}} \end{aligned}$$

with $r = q/q - 2$. Now choose q such that

$$\sigma r = 2,\ d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) < 1,\ \text{that is,}\ q = \frac{4}{2-\sigma},\ q < \frac{2d}{d-2},$$

which is verified for $\sigma < 4/d$. Hence, for such q,

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} \leqslant \|U(t)u_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + |\lambda| \int_0^t (t-s)^{-d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|u\|_2^\sigma \|u\|_{W^{1,q}}.$$

Now, using conservation of mass and the imbedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d = 2, 3$, one has

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} \leqslant C\|u_0\|_{H^2} + |\lambda| \int_0^t (t-s)^{-d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma \|u\|_{W^{1,q}}.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} \leqslant C\|u_0\|_{H^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t s^{-d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} ds\right) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2}.$$

On the other hand, we have the inclusion $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $d = 2$ if $q > 2$, and for $d = 3$ if $q > 3$, which is true since $q = 4/(2-\sigma)$ and $\sigma > 2/3$.

Therefore, using again the Duhamel formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|_{H^1} &\leqslant \|u_0\|_{H^1} + |\lambda| \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^\infty}^\sigma \|u(s)\|_{H^1} ds \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1} + |\lambda| \int_0^t \|u\|_{W^{1,q}}^\sigma \|u(s)\|_{H^1} ds \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1} + |\lambda| \int_0^t \|u_0\|_{H^2}^\sigma \|u(s)\|_{H^1} ds\end{aligned}$$

and the Gronwall's inequality ends the proof. □

3.3 Global existence for small data

The pseudo-conformal transform may be used to obtain global solutions for small initial data. Specifically, we shall consider $v = \mathcal{T}_{0,-1}u$, that is,

$$v(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = (1-t)^{-d/2} u\left(\frac{t}{1-t}, \frac{x}{1-t}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{1-t}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4(1-t)}\right).$$

Choosing the new variables $s = t/(1-t)$, $x' = x/(1-t)$ and $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{y}/(1-t)$, one reads

$$v(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = (1+s)^{d/2} u(s,x',\mathbf{y}') \exp\left(-i\frac{((x')^2-|\mathbf{y}'|^2)(1+s)}{4}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

A straightfoward calculation shows that u is a solution of (1.1) on $[0,T)$ if and only if v is a solution of the corresponding nonautonomous equation

$$iv_t + v_{xx} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} v + \lambda(1-t)^{\frac{d\sigma-4}{2}} |v|^\sigma v = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

on the interval $[0, \frac{T}{1+T})$. Therefore, a global solution u of (1.1) is associated by the pseudo-conformal tranform to a solution v of (3.3) on $[0,1)$. In addition, we see very easily that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u(s)\|_{L^2}, \quad \|(x,\mathbf{y})v(t)\|_{L^2} = (1+s)^{-1}\|(x',\mathbf{y}')u(s)\|_{L^2},$$

$$\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}\|(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}' + 2i(1+s)\nabla)u(s)\|_{L^2}, \ \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}\|(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + 2i(1-t)\nabla)u(s)\|_{L^2},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x, -\mathbf{y})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}' = (x', -\mathbf{y}')$. It follows that the space $\Sigma = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, (|x|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2)dxd\mathbf{y})$ is preserved by the transformation (3.2). Using the same argument as in [4], we can prove the following result:

Proposition 3. *Let σ be such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{d} < \sigma < \infty, & d = 2 \\ \frac{8}{d+\sqrt{d^2+16d}} < \sigma < \frac{4}{d-2}, & d = 3 \end{cases}.$$

Then, for every $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \Sigma$ such that $\|u_0\|_{H^2}$ is small enough, there exists a unique global solution $u \in C([0,\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of the problem (1.1).

4 A family of semiclassical solutions

Here, we shall apply the transformation $\mathcal{T}_{a_0,k}$ (cf. section 3) to obtain a family of solutions of (HNLS) in the critical case $\sigma = 4/d$. The idea will be to use bound-states of (HNLS) (which are never in H^1, as proved in [9]). We say that a u is a semiclassical solution of (HNLS) if $u \in C([0,T), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and u satisfies (HNLS) in the distributional sense. The following theorem displays a family of semiclassical solutions as well as their dynamics.

Theorem 4. *Let $A_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of*

$$\Box u + \lambda |u|^{4/d} u = (k(x^2 - |\boldsymbol{y}|^2) + \gamma_0)u, \;\; k, \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then the (HNLS) admits the following family of semiclassical solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,x,\boldsymbol{y}) = &\exp\left(-\frac{d}{2}\int_0^t a(\tau)d\tau\right) A_0\left((x,\boldsymbol{y})\exp\left(-\int_0^t a(\tau)d\tau\right)\right)\\ &\times \exp\left(ia(t)\frac{x^2-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}{4}\right)\exp\left(i\gamma_0\int_0^t \exp\left(-2\int_0^s a(\tau)d\tau\right)ds\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

with $a'(t) + a(t)^2 = 4k\exp\left(-4\int_0^t a(\tau)d\tau\right)$, $a(0) = a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Futhermore, if $A_0(0) \neq 0$ and

1. *if $k < 0$, the solution blows up in finite time in the L^∞ norm, for any initial data a_0;*
2. *if $k = 0$, the solution blows up at $T = -1/a_0$;*
3. *if $k > 0$, the solution is global in time and its L^∞ norm decays like $O(1/t)$.*

Proof. First of all, notice that $\phi(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\gamma_0 t}A_0(x,\mathbf{y})$ is a solution of

$$iu_t + \Box u + \lambda|u|^{4/d}u - k(x^2 - |\mathbf{y}|^2)u = 0.$$

Hence, for any given $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = (\mathcal{T}_{a_0,k}\phi)(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\gamma_0 g(t)}\phi\left(\frac{x}{b(t)}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{b(t)}\right)\exp\left(\frac{ia(t)(x^2-|\mathbf{y}|^2)}{4}\right)f(t)$$

is a solution of (HNLS). Using (2.3), one arrives to the expression (4.2).

To study the long-time behaviour of these solutions, we first observe that, since $a'' + 6a'a + 4a^3 = 0$,

$$a(t) = \frac{t + c_2}{(t+c_2)^2 + c_1}, \text{ for some } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from a simple computation that $c_1 = a'(0) + a(0)^2 = 4k$. Now one splits in two possibilities:

1. if $k \leqslant 0$, then a blows up at $t_0 = \sqrt{4|k|} - c_2$ as $1/t^2$ for $k < 0$ and as $1/t$ for $k = 0$. Since $A_0(0) \neq 0$, it follows from (4.2) that ψ also blows up in the L^∞ norm at time t_0;
2. if $k > 0$, then a is global in time and decays as $O(1/t)$, which implies in turn that ψ is global in time and presents the same decay in L^∞.

□

REMARK 5. A different technique using an hydrodynamical approach was used by O. Rozanova ([16]) to obtain a similar class of solutions for the (NLS). In fact, we observe that such a technique results in the generalized pseudo-conformal transform $\mathcal{T}_{a_0,k}$, which endows this transformation with a concrete physical interpretation.

REMARK 6. In the particular case $k = 0$, $\gamma_0 < 0$, $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $d = 2$, we obtain easily an L^∞ solution of (4.1). This is an elementary consequence of the energy integral for the Klein-Gordon equation $u_{xx} - u_{yy} + \lambda|u|^2u = \gamma_0 u$,

$$E(u(x)) = \int |u_x(x)|^2 dy + \int |u_y(x)|^2 dy + \lambda \int |u(x)|^4 dy - \gamma_0 \int |u(x)|^2 dy = E(u(0)),$$

which implies

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \sup_x \|u(x,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant E(0).$$

5 Spatial plane waves

In this section, we shall consider spatial plane waves, that is, solutions of the form $u(t, x, \mathbf{y}) = f(t, x - c \cdot \mathbf{y})$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, $c \neq 0$, is a fixed vector. For u to be a solution to the (HNLS), one must have

$$if_t + (1 - |c|^2)f_{zz} + \lambda|f|^\sigma f = 0,\ f(0, z) = f_0(z) \tag{5.1}$$

One of the interesting properties is that the size of $|c|$ determines the nature of the equation: fix, for example, $\lambda = 1$. Then,

1. if $|c| < 1$, (5.1) is the focusing (NLS), which may exhibit blow-up phenomena;
2. if $|c| = 1$, one may solve expliclty (5.1):

   $$f(t, z) = f(0, z)e^{i|f(0,z)|^\sigma t},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$$

   We observe that such solutions verify $|f(t, z)| = |f(0, z)|, \forall t, z$, which means that these solutions are *localized* (that is, their shape is not distorted by the flow of the equation);
3. if $|c| > 1$, (5.1) is the defocusing (NLS), for which no blow-up solutions exist.

REMARK 7. Spatial plane waves also exist for the (NLS); however, the speed of the wave has no influence in the global dynamics. Furthermore, the existence of solutions with constant amplitude is a unique property of (HNLS).

REMARK 8. If $d \geqslant 3$, fix $1 \leqslant n < d$. We write $\mathbf{x} = (x, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. One may also consider solutions of the form $u(t, \mathbf{x}) = f(t, x - c \cdot \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^{d-n}$, which we shall call n-dimensional spatial plane waves. In this situation,

$$if_t + (1 - |c|^2)f_{zz} - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_2} f + \lambda|f|^\sigma f = 0.$$

If $|c| < 1$, then f satisfies a (HNLS)-type equation; if $|c| \geqslant 1$, then one arrives to the (NLS) equation, where one may observe global existence and finite-time blow-up, depending on the sign of λ.

5.1 Local existence

We now develop a suitable functional framework that includes both solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and spatial plane waves. Given $c \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\backslash\{0\}$, define the space of spatial plane waves

$$X_c = \left\{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) : u(x, \mathbf{y}) = f(x - c \cdot \mathbf{y}) \ a.e.\right\}$$

and endow it with the norm $\|u\|_{X_c} = \|f\|_{H^2}$ (we say that f is the profile of u). If $u_0 \in X_c$, then the solution of

$$iu_t + \Box u = 0, u(0) = u_0$$

is given by $u(t, x, \mathbf{y}) = (U(t)f_0)(x - c\cdot\mathbf{y})$, where f_0 is the profile of u_0, and $U(t)$ is the Schrödinger group $e^{it\Delta}$ acting on $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ for $|c| \neq 1$, and the identity map for $|c| = 1$. It is clear that $u(t) \in X_c, \forall t$, and that

$$\|u(t)\|_{X_c} = \|U(t)f_0\|_{H^2} = \|f_0\|_{H^2} = \|u_0\|_{X_c},$$

which means that $U(t)$ induces naturally the group of isometries $e^{it\Box}$ on X_c. Set

$$E = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus X_c.$$

Obviously, the group $e^{it\Box}$ is also defined on E, since it is simply the sum of the corresponding groups in each of the spaces. Moreover, define

$$X'_c = \left\{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : u(x, \mathbf{y}) = f(x - c \cdot \mathbf{y}) \ a.e.\right\}$$

and $E' = H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus X'_c$.

Theorem 5. *Let $0 < \sigma < 4/(d-2)^+$. For every $u_0 \in E$, there exists $T(u_0) > 0$ and a unique solution of (1.1) $u \in C([0, T(u_0)), E) \cap C^1((0, T(u_0)), E')$ which depends continuously on u_0. Also, the blow-up condition holds in the sense that*

$$\lim_{t \to T(u_0)} \|u(t)\|_E = \infty, \quad \text{if } T(u_0) < \infty.$$

Proof. On E, one actually observes a decoupling of the (HNLS) equation: writing the solution u as $v + \phi$, $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\phi \in X_c$, $\phi(x, \mathbf{y}) = f(x - c \cdot \mathbf{y})$, one has

$$iv_t + \Box v + \lambda|v + \phi|^\sigma(v + \phi) - \lambda|\phi|^\sigma\phi = -(i\phi_t + \Box\phi + \lambda|\phi|^\sigma\phi). \tag{5.2}$$

It is clear that the right hand side is in X'_c. We claim that the left hand side is in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: the problem resides on the nonlinear part. Since $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, one has $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore

$$||v + \phi|^\sigma(v + \phi) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi| \lesssim |v| + |v|^{\sigma+1}$$

Since $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $|v|^{\sigma+1} \in L^{\frac{\sigma+2}{\sigma+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the nonlinear term may be written as a sum of a L^2 function with a $L^{\frac{\sigma+2}{\sigma+1}}$ function, both of them lying in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by Sobolev's injection.

Using the fact that $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap X'_c = \{0\}$ (see Appendix A), one concludes that both sides of (5.2) are zero:

$$iv_t + \Box v + \lambda|v + \phi|^\sigma(v + \phi) - \lambda|\phi|^\sigma\phi = 0 \tag{5.3}$$

$$if_t + (1 - c^2)f_{zz} + \lambda|f|^\sigma f = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

Fix an initial data $u_0 = v_0 + \phi_0$, where ϕ_0 has profile f_0. For (5.4), one may use the H^2 local well-posedness result for the one dimensional (NLS) equation and obtain the profile f. Then, one focus on (5.3) and solves for v. Since the nonlinear term in (5.3) satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|(|v+\phi|^\sigma(v+\phi) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi) - (|w+\phi|^\sigma(w+\phi) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi)| &\lesssim (1 + |v+\phi|^\sigma + |w+\phi|^\sigma)|v-w| \\ &\lesssim (1 + |v|^\sigma + |w|^\sigma)|v-w|\end{aligned}$$

the local existence result for (5.3) actually follows from the standard Kato's method applied in the (HNLS) context.

□

REMARK 9. Recall that, in the case $|c| = 1$, the explicit solution of (5.4) is given by

$$f(t,z) = f(0,z)e^{i|f(0,z)|^\sigma t},\ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies that, if $f(0,\cdot) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then $f(t,\cdot) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, for all $t > 0$. Since no estimate of $e^{it\Box}$ over X_c is necessary, one may actually weaken the definition of X_c in this case, by replacing $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

REMARK 10. Fix $d = 2$ and $\sigma \geqslant 1$. We claim that one is able to extend the above local well-posedness result to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus X_{c_1} \oplus X_{c_2}$. In fact, the decoupling of the equation on each of the function spaces still holds (see Appendix): for $u = v + \phi + \psi$, $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi \in X_{c_1}$ with profile f and $\psi \in X_{c_2}$ with profile g, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&iv_t + \Box v + \lambda\left(|v+\phi+\psi|^\sigma(v+\phi+\psi) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi - |\psi|^\sigma\psi\right) = 0 \\ &if_t + (1-c_1^2)f_{zz} + \lambda|f|^\sigma f = 0, \\ &ig_t + (1-c_2^2)g_{zz} + \lambda|g|^\sigma g = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We point out that the nonlinear term of the first equation is truly in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$: note that

$$\begin{aligned}&||v+\phi+\psi|^\sigma(\phi+\psi+v) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi - |\psi|^\sigma\psi| \\ \leqslant &||v+\phi+\psi|^\sigma(\phi+\psi+v) - |\phi+\psi|^\sigma(\phi+\psi)| + ||\phi+\psi|^\sigma(\phi+\psi) - |\phi|^\sigma\phi - |\psi|^\sigma\psi| \\ \lesssim &(|v|^\sigma + |\phi|^\sigma + |\psi|^\sigma)|v| + |\psi|^\sigma|\phi| + |\phi|^\sigma|\psi|.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\phi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the terms with $|v|$'s are treated as in the previous proof. It remains to check the last couple of terms. For example, take $|\phi|^\sigma\psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x,y)|^{2\sigma}|\psi(x,y)|^2 dxdy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x-c_1y)|^{2\sigma}|g(x-c_2y)|^2 dxdy \\ &= \frac{1}{|c_1-c_2|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(w)|^{2\sigma}|g(z)|^2 dwdz \leqslant \frac{1}{|c_1-c_2|}\|f\|_\infty^{2\sigma-2}\|f\|_2^2\|g\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

and so $|\phi|^2\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In fact, (5.5) expresses the interaction between spatial plane waves with different velocities, which turns out to be an H^1 function.

REMARK 11. One may apply the construction made in this section to the case of n-dimensional spatial plane waves (cf. Remark 8), by replacing the profile space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $2k - 2 > n$, so that $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

5.2 Stability result

The aim of this section is to prove that a large class of spatial plane waves is stable with respect to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ pertubations. The idea is to obtain a global existence result for small data in the large power case (recall section 3.3) for equation (5.3). This is not trivial, as one may observe by analyzing (5.3): since there are both linear and quadratic in v, these lower order terms may disrupt the smallness of v. Moreover, it is not clear that the spatial plane wave substrate ϕ (which has both infinite mass and energy) does not increase indefinitely the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ component.

REMARK 12. One may try to study the linear part of (5.3)

$$iv_t + \Box v + \lambda|\phi|^2 v + 2\phi \operatorname{Re} v\bar{\phi} = 0, \tag{5.6}$$

which is closely related to decay estimates of $L = i\Box + iV(t,x)[\cdot]$, where $V(t,x)[v] = \lambda|\phi|^2 v + 2\phi \operatorname{Re} v\bar{\phi}$. If one drops the second term in the potential, one might be able to use the results of [6], [7] to obtain such estimates. Their method is to consider approximations of the free group e^{itL} given by Feynman's path integral and requires some physical interpretation on the effect of the potential on the motion of quantum particles. However, if one does not drop the second term, this physical interpretation becomes unclear. Moreover, a simple calculation shows that (5.6) does not conserve the L^2 norm.

Theorem 6. *Let $\sigma = 4$, $d = 2$. Suppose that $c \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is such that $(|c|-1)\lambda > 0$. Fix $\phi_0 \in X_c$ and let f_0 be its profile. If $f_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, x^2dx) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, then the plane wave ϕ of (HNLS) with initial data ϕ_0 is H^1-stable, i.e.,*

$$\forall \delta > 0\ \exists \epsilon > 0\ \|v_0\|_{H^1} < \epsilon \Rightarrow \|u - \phi\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty),H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} < \delta,$$

where u is the (global) solution in E of (HNLS) with initial data $v_0 + \phi_0$.

REMARK 13. The result also holds for $\sigma \geqslant 4$ even: first, the linear term in v, which is of the form $|\phi|^\sigma v$, is well-behaved, since it has a stronger time decay; second, the terms with powers higher than 5 in v can be treated analogously to the quintic term.

Proof. Step 1. Properties of ϕ. Recall that $\phi(t,x,y) = f(t,x-cy)$, where $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of

$$if_t + (1-c^2)f_{zz} + \lambda|f|^4 f = 0,\ f(0) = f_0.$$

Since $(|c|-1)\lambda > 0$, the above equation is in the defocusing case. Therefore, f is global in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ ([3, Theorem 5.3.1]).

By hypothesis, $f_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, x^2dx)$ and so it follows from [3, Theorem 7.3.1] that

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant C\|f(t)\|_{H^1} \leqslant CE(f_0).$$

Finally, we would like to estimate $\|\nabla f(t)\|_\infty$. From Duhamel's formula, one has

$$\nabla f(t) = S(t)\nabla f_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)\nabla(|f(s)|^4 f(s))ds.$$

Hence, for $t > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant & \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^1} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|f(s)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla f(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\
\lesssim & \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^1} + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} E(f_0)^3 \|f_0\|_{L^2} E(f_0) ds + \int_1^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \|f_0\|_{L^2} E(f_0) ds \\
\lesssim & \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^1} + C(f_0) \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds + \int_1^{t-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} ds + \int_{t-1}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} ds \right) \\
\lesssim & \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^1} + C(f_0) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-1}} + \int_1^{t-1} \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} ds + \frac{1}{(t-1)^{3/2}} \int_{t-1}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \right) \\
\lesssim & \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^1} + C(f_0) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-1}} + 1 + \frac{1}{(t-1)^{3/2}} \right) \leqslant C(f_0).
\end{aligned}$$

For $t \leqslant 2$, a similar procedure allows one to bound $\|\nabla f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant C(f_0)$. Therefore ∇f in uniformly bounded in L^∞. Since $\phi(t,x,y) = f(t, x - cy)$, it follows that

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leqslant \min\left\{ \frac{C}{t^{1/2}}, CE(f_0) \right\}, \quad \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leqslant C(f_0).$$

Step 2. Setup. Fix $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and consider the corresponding solution v of

$$iv_t + \Box v + \lambda(|v+\phi|^4(v+\phi) - |\phi|^4\phi) = 0.$$

We recall that v is defined on $(0, T(u_0))$, where $u_0 = v_0 + \phi_0$. Since f is global in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, the blow-up alternative of theorem 5 then implies that, if $T(u_0) < \infty$,

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1} \to \infty, \ t \to T(u_0).$$

For a given $\eta > 0$, which shall be fixed later, take $T > 0$ large enough so that

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \eta, \ t \geqslant T.$$

If $\|v_0\|_{H^1} < \epsilon$ is small enough, the fixed-point used in the local existence result implies that v is defined on $(0, T+1)$ and that $\|v(t)\|_{H^1} \leqslant 2\|v_0\|_{H^1}$, $0 < t < T+1$. Therefore, one may, without loss of generality, take as initial data $u(T) = v(T) + \phi(T)$ and prove the stability result.

We develop the nonlinear part as

$$\lambda(|v+\phi|^4(v+\phi) - |\phi|^4\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^5 g_i(v, \phi),$$

where each g_i has i powers of v and $5-i$ powers of ϕ. Define, for $i = 3, 4, 5$, $\rho_i = i+1$ and γ_i such that (γ_i, ρ_i) is an admissible pair. In particular, $\rho_3 = \gamma_3 = 4$. Consider, for $0 < t < T(u_0)$,

$$h(t) = \|v\|_{L^\infty((0,t),H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} + \sum_{i=3}^5 \|v\|_{L^{\gamma_i}((0,t),W^{1,\rho_i}(\mathbb{R}^2))}.$$

We write Duhamel's formula,

$$v(t) = U(t)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \int_0^t U(t-s) g_i(v(s), \phi(s)) ds.$$

Therefore, for any admissible pair (q,r),

$$\|v\|_{L^q((0,t),W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leqslant C\|v_0\|_{H^1} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_i(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q((0,t),W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2))}. \tag{5.7}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we shall omit both the temporal and spatial domains. In the next steps, we shall estimate each term of the sum by a suitable power of $h(t)$.

Step 3. Estimate of higher-order terms in v on (5.7). Here, we shall estimate

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_i(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})}, \quad i = 3,4,5.$$

Take $i = 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_3(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})} &\lesssim \|g_3(v,\phi)\|_{L^{\gamma_3'}(W^{1,\rho_3'})} \\ &\lesssim \||v|^3|\phi|^2\|_{L^{4/3}(W^{1,4/3})} \lesssim \|v\|^3_{L^4(W^{1,4})} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|^3_{L^{\gamma_3}(W^{1,\rho_3})} \lesssim h(t)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we treat the case $i = 4,5$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_i(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})} &\lesssim \|g_i(v,\phi)\|_{L^{\gamma_i'}(W^{1,\rho_i'})} \\ &\lesssim \||v|^i|\phi|^{5-i}\|_{L^{\gamma_i'}(W^{1,\rho_i'})} \lesssim \|v\|^{i-1}_{L^{\mu_i}(L^{\rho_i})}\|v\|_{L^{\gamma_i}(W^{1,\rho_i})} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{(i-1)(i+1)}{2} > \gamma_i.$$

Then, through the interpolation $L^{\gamma_i} - L^{\mu_i} - L^{\infty}$ and the injection $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^{\rho_i}$,

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_i(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})} \lesssim h(t)^i, \ i = 4,5.$$

Step 4. Estimate of the linear term in v.

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_1(v(s),\phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})} \lesssim \|g_1(v,\phi)\|_{L^1(H^1)} \lesssim \||v||\phi|^4\|_{L^1(H^1)}.$$

Using the properties deduced in Step 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \||v||\phi|^4\|_{L^1(H^1)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\phi(s)\|^3_{L^\infty}\|\phi(s)\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|v(s)\|_{H^1} ds \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(H^1)} \left(\int_0^t \|\phi(s)\|^3_{L^\infty} ds \right) \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}\|\phi\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty(L^\infty)} \left(1 + \int_1^t \frac{1}{s^{5/4}} ds \right) \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}\|\phi\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty(L^\infty)} \lesssim h(t)\eta^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Step 5. Estimate of the quadratic term in v. Recalling that $\phi, \nabla\phi$ are bounded in $L^\infty(L^\infty)$,

one has

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} U(\cdot - s) g_2(v(s), \phi(s)) ds \right\|_{L^q(W^{1,r})} \lesssim \|g_2(v,\phi)\|_{L^{4/3}(W^{1,4/3})} \lesssim \||v|^2|\phi|^3\|_{L^{4/3}(W^{1,4/3})}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^t \int |\phi|^4 |v|^{8/3} + |\phi|^4 |v|^{4/3} |\nabla v|^{4/3} + |\phi|^{8/3} |v|^{8/3} |\nabla \phi|^{4/3} \right)^{3/4}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^t \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}^{8/3} \int |v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + |v|^4 + |\nabla v|^4 \right)^{3/4}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_0^t \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}^{8/3} \int |v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + \int_0^t \int |v|^4 + |\nabla v|^4 \right)^{3/4}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}^2 \left(1 + \int_1^t \frac{1}{s^{8/6}} ds \right) + \|v\|_{L^4(W^{1,4})}^4 \right)^{3/4} \lesssim h(t)^{3/2} + h(t)^3.$$

Step 6. Conclusion. Putting together Steps 3, 4 and 5, there exists a universal constant D such that

$$h(t) \leqslant D \left(\|v_0\|_{H^1} + h(t)\eta^{1/4} + h(t)^{3/2} + h(t)^3 + h(t)^4 + h(t)^5 \right).$$

Now, for $\eta < 1/16D^4$, we arrive at

$$h(t) \lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^1} + \left(h(t)^{3/2} + h(t)^3 + h(t)^4 + h(t)^5 \right)$$

If $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ is sufficiently small, then the above inequality implies $h(t) \in [0, h_0] \cup [h_1, \infty)$, for some $h_0 < \delta, h_1$. Since $h(0) = 0$, by continuity, one has $h(t) < \delta$, for all $t < T(u_0)$. The blow-up alternative then implies that $T(u_0) = \infty$, which concludes the proof. □

Set $\sigma = 2$ and consider the (NLS) equation in dimension two. Fix an initial data $f_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, (|x|^2 + |y|^2)dxdy) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $\sigma = 2$ is the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$-critical exponent, [3, Theorem 6.2.1] may be adapted to prove that, if $\|f_0\|_{H^3}$ is sufficiently small, then the corresponding solution f is global and bounded in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, since Δf is bounded in L^2, one may prove, using Duhamel's formula, that

$$\|\nabla f(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \|\nabla f_0\|_1, \quad \text{for } t \text{ large.}$$

This decay allows one to prove the following:

Theorem 7. *Let $\sigma = 2$, $d = 3$. Fix a 2-dimensional spatial plane wave ϕ of (HNLS), with speed $|c| > 1$, and let f_0 be its initial profile. If $f_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, (|x|^2 + |y|^2)dxdy) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has sufficiently small H^3 norm, then ϕ is H^1-stable, i.e.,*

$$\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists \epsilon > 0 \ \|v_0\|_{H^1} < \epsilon \Rightarrow \|u - \phi\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} < \delta,$$

where u is the (global) solution in E of (HNLS) with initial data $v_0 + \phi_0$.

Remark 14. One could try to prove a more general result for n-dimensional plane waves (cf. Remark 8) in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $0 < \sigma < 4/(d-2)^+$. However, this turns out to be impossible using our technique:

1. First of all, the proof could only work for σ even, since one needs to write the nonlinearity as a sum of powers of $|v|$ and apply a different Strichartz estimate to each one of them;

2. From the Sobolev critical exponent, if $d = 3$, only $\sigma = 2$ is an admissible even power; for $d \geqslant 4$, one must have $\sigma < 2$, which excludes all even powers;

3. In the estimate of the linear term, one needs sufficient decay on $\|\phi\|_\infty$ so that $\|\phi\|_\infty^\sigma$ is integrable. However, for $n = 1$ and $\sigma = 2$, $\|\phi\|_\infty^2 \approx 1/t$.

6 Hyperbolically symmetric solutions

In this section, we focus our attention on a particular class of non-integrable solutions of (1.1) in $d = 2$. These solutions are invariant for the hyperbolic invariance (cf. section 2), and so, for each time $t \in [0, T)$, they are constant on the hyperbolas $x^2 - y^2 = k$. We refer these solutions as having hyperbolic symmetry. More precisely, we look for solutions of (1.1) of the form

$$u(t,x,y) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t, \sqrt{x^2 - y^2}) = \Phi(t, r), & r^2 = x^2 - y^2 \geqslant 0 \\ \Psi(t, \sqrt{y^2 - x^2}) = \Psi(t, s), & s^2 = y^2 - x^2 \geqslant 0 \end{cases},$$

with $\Phi, \Psi : [0, T) \times]0, \infty[\to \mathbb{C}$.

Fix now $\epsilon > 0$. First, we restrict our analysis to the region

$$D_\epsilon^1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 - y^2 > \epsilon^2\}$$

and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t^\epsilon + \Box u^\epsilon + \lambda |u^\epsilon|^\sigma u^\epsilon = 0, & u^\epsilon = u^\epsilon(t,x,y), (x,y) \in D_\epsilon^1 \\ u^\epsilon(0,x,y) = u_0^\epsilon(x,y), & (x,y) \in D_\epsilon^1 \\ u^\epsilon(t,x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \partial D_\epsilon^1,\ t \in [0,T) \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

In the following and for simplicity of notation, we shall drop the superscript on u^ϵ. Next, we look for solutions of (6.1) with hyperbolic symmetry: $u(t,x,y) = \Phi(t,r)$, $r = \sqrt{x^2 - y^2} > \epsilon$. Since

$$\Box u = \Phi_{rr} + \frac{\Phi_r}{r},\ r > \epsilon$$

the (HNLS) becomes

$$i\Phi_t + \Phi_{rr} + \frac{\Phi_r}{r} + \lambda |\Phi|^\sigma \Phi = 0$$

and if we set $\tilde{u}(t,x,y) = \Phi(t, \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$, it follows that problem (6.1) is formally equivalent to the radial (NLS) problem:

$$\begin{cases} i\tilde{u}_t + \Delta \tilde{u} + \lambda |\tilde{u}|^\sigma \tilde{u} = 0, & \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(t,x,y), (x,y) \in \Omega_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{B(0,\epsilon)} \\ \tilde{u}(0,x,y) = \tilde{u}_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in \Omega_\epsilon \\ \tilde{u}(t,x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \partial\Omega_\epsilon,\ t \in [0,T) \end{cases}. \tag{6.2}$$

It becomes clear that the solutions of (6.1) with hyperbolic symmetry are closely related to radial solutions of the (NLS) on the exterior domain $\Omega_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{B(0,\epsilon)}$. In a very similar way, setting

$$D_\epsilon^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y^2 - x^2 > \epsilon^2\},$$

a solution with hyperbolic symmetry $v(t,x,y) = \Psi(t,s)$, $s = \sqrt{y^2 - x^2} > \epsilon$, of

$$\begin{cases} iv_t + \Box v + \lambda |v|^\sigma v = 0, & v = v(t,x,y), (x,y) \in D_\epsilon^2 \\ v(0,x,y) = v_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in D_\epsilon^2 \\ v(t,x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \partial D_\epsilon^2,\ t \in [0,T) \end{cases}$$

corresponds, through the expression $\tilde{v}(t,x,y) = \Psi(t, \sqrt{x^2+y^2})$, to a solution of

$$\begin{cases} i\tilde{v}_t - \Delta\tilde{v} + \lambda|\tilde{v}|^\sigma\tilde{v} = 0, & \tilde{v} = \tilde{v}(t,x,y), (x,y) \in \Omega_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{B(0,\epsilon)} \\ \tilde{v}(0,x,y) = \tilde{v}_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in \Omega_\epsilon \\ \tilde{v}(t,x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \partial\Omega_\epsilon,\ t \in [0,T) \end{cases} . \tag{6.3}$$

Remark 15. Notice that the (NLS) in (6.2) and (6.3) concerns the focusing and defocusing cases, respectively (or vice-versa, according to the sign of λ). We shall take note of this when we consider the similar problem to (6.1) on the domain $D^1_\epsilon \cup D^2_\epsilon$.

Finally, the problem on the domain $D^1_0 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 - y^2 > 0\}$ (resp. $D^2_0 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y^2 - x^2 > 0\}$) will be considered as well, namely

$$\begin{cases} iv_t + \Box v + \lambda|v|^\sigma v = 0, & v = v(t,x,y), (x,y) \in D^1_0 \text{ (resp. } (x,y) \in D^2_0) \\ v(0,x,y) = v_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in D^1_\epsilon \end{cases}$$

Note that here the problem of finding solutions with hyperbolic symmetry ammounts to the study of the radial (NLS) in all of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Now we can state the following results:

Theorem 8 (Solutions with hyperbolic symmetry on D^1_ϵ)**.** *Let $\tilde{u}_0 \in H^1_0(\Omega_\epsilon)$, $\Omega_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{B(0,\epsilon)}$ be a radial function, $\tilde{u}_0(x,y) = \Phi_0(\rho)$, with $\rho = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$. Then, for $0 < \sigma < \infty$, there exists $T(\Phi_0) > 0$ and a semiclassical solution with hyperbolic symmetry of* (6.1)

$$u \in C([0, T(\Phi_0)); L^\infty(D^1_\epsilon)),$$

with initial data $u(0,x,y) = \Phi_0(\sqrt{x^2-y^2})$, and one has the blow-up alternative:

$$T(\Phi_0) < \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{t \to T(\Phi_0)} \|u(t)\|_\infty = \infty.$$

In addition, let us consider the following cases:

1. *If $0 < \sigma < 4$, then $T(\Phi_0) = \infty$;*
2. *If $4 \leqslant \sigma < \infty$ and*
   (a) *$\lambda < 0$, then $T(\Phi_0) = \infty$;*
   (b) *$\lambda > 0$, let*

$$E(\Phi_0) = E(\tilde{u}_0) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\nabla\tilde{u}_0|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma+2}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\tilde{u}_0|^{\sigma+2}$$

be the energy associated with (6.2)*. Assume that $\tilde{u}_0 \in H^2(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap L^2(\Omega_\epsilon, (|x|^2+|y|^2)dxdy)$ and that the energy $E(\tilde{u}_0)$ verifies one of the following conditions:*

   i. *$E(\tilde{u}_0) < 0$;*
   ii. *$E(\tilde{u}_0) \geqslant 0$ and, for $\theta(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \epsilon^2 \log|x|$,*

$$\mathrm{Im}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (\nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\tilde{u}_0)\overline{\tilde{u}_0} > 0,\ \left|\mathrm{Im}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (\nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\tilde{u}_0)\overline{\tilde{u}_0}\right|^2 \geqslant 8E(\tilde{u}_0)\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \theta|\tilde{u}_0|^2;$$

Then $T(\Phi_0) < \infty$.

Theorem 9 (Solutions with hyperbolic symmetry on the cone D_0^1)**.** *Let $\tilde{u}_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, be a radial function, $\tilde{u}_0(x,y) = \Phi_0(\rho)$, with $\rho = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$. Then, for $0 < \sigma < \infty$, there exists $T(\Phi_0) > 0$ and a semiclassical solution with hyperbolic symmetry of* (6.1)

$$u \in C([0, T(\Phi_0)); L^\infty(D_0^1)),$$

with initial data $u(0,x,y) = \Phi_0(\sqrt{x^2-y^2})$, and one has the blow-up alternative:

$$T(\Phi_0) < \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{t \to T(\Phi_0)} \|u(t)\|_\infty = \infty.$$

In addition, let us consider the following cases:

1. *If $0 < \sigma < 2$, then $T(\Phi_0) = \infty$;*
2. *If $2 \leqslant \sigma < \infty$ and*
   (a) *$\lambda \|\tilde{u}_0\|_2^2 < 4$, then $T(\Phi_0) = \infty$;*
   (b) *$\lambda > 0$, let*
   $$E(\Phi_0) = E(\tilde{u}_0) := \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla \tilde{u}_0|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma+2}\int |\tilde{u}_0|^{\sigma+2}.$$
   Suppose that $\tilde{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, (|x|^2+|y|^2)dxdy)$ and that $E(\tilde{u}_0)$ verifies one of the following conditions:
   i. *$E(\tilde{u}_0) < 0$;*
   ii. *$E(\tilde{u}_0) \geqslant 0$ and*
   $$\mathrm{Im}\int (x\cdot\nabla\tilde{u}_0)\overline{\tilde{u}_0} > 0, \ \left|\mathrm{Im}\int (x\cdot\nabla\tilde{u}_0)\overline{\tilde{u}_0}\right|^2 \geqslant 4E(\tilde{u}_0)\int |x\tilde{u}_0|^2;$$
   Then $T(\Phi_0) < \infty$ and, for $2 \leqslant \sigma < 4$, the blow-up is taken at the cone $C = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 - y^2 = 0\}$ in the sense that
   $$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \liminf_{t \to T(\Phi_0)} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(D_0^1 \setminus D_\epsilon^1)} = \infty \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. We start with the proof of Theorem 8. The local existence and uniqueness of solution for the problem (6.2) with $\tilde{u}_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ is a consequence of [1, Theorem 1]: there exists $T(\tilde{u}_0) = T(\Phi_0) > 0$ and a unique maximal solution $\tilde{u} \in C([0, T(\Phi_0)); H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon))$ of the problem (6.2). Since $\tilde{u}_0$ is radial symmetric, it follows by uniqueness that $\tilde{u}(t)$ is also radial symmetric for all $t \in [0, T(\Phi_0))$.

On the other hand, using the classical inequality for radial functions

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)} \leqslant C\|\nabla\tilde{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon))}\|\tilde{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon))}. \tag{6.5}$$

one has $\tilde{u} \in C([0, T_{max}), L^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon))$, $\tilde{u} = \Phi(t,\rho)$. Setting $u(t,x,y) = \Phi(t, \sqrt{x^2-y^2})$, it follows that u is a solution of (6.1) in the distributional sense.

We recall that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the following conservation laws:

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\tilde{u}(t)|^2 = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\tilde{u}_0|^2, \ E(\tilde{u}(t)) = E(\tilde{u}_0), \ 0 < t < T(\Phi_0). \tag{6.6}$$

We derive, from (6.5) and (6.6),

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\nabla \tilde{u}(t)|^2 \leqslant 2E(\tilde{u}_0) + \frac{2}{\sigma+2}\|\tilde{u}_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}\|\tilde{u}(t)\|^\sigma_{L^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)}$$
$$\leqslant 2E(\tilde{u}_0) + \frac{2}{\sigma+2}\|\tilde{u}_0\|^{2+\frac{\sigma}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}\left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon}|\nabla\tilde{u}(t)|^2\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}.$$

For $\sigma < 4$, we obtain the control of the norm $\|\nabla\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}$ and the solution is global. The case 2.(a) is trivial by (6.6). Finally, under the assumptions of case 2.(b), it follows that the maximal time of existence, T_{max}, of the solution

$$u \in C([0,T_{max}), H^2(\Omega_\epsilon)\cap H^1_0(\Omega_\epsilon)) \cap C^1((0,T_{max}), L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)),$$

is finite (cf. [12, Proposition 1.6]). We claim that this implies

$$\limsup_{t\to T_{max}} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)} = \infty.$$

Indeed, if this was not true, using Duhamel's formula and Gronwall's lemma, $\|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^2}$ should be bounded in $[0,T_{max})$, which is absurd. Hence $T(\Phi_0) = T_{max}$ and the proof of Theorem 8 is concluded.

The same procedure is used in Theorem 9, but now we have $\mathbb{R}^2$ instead of Ω_ϵ. Hence, the proof amounts to the well-known global existence and blow-up results for (NLS) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In the case 2(b), $2 \leqslant \sigma < 4$, we have the concentration of $\tilde{u}$ at the origin (cf. [15, Remark 3.1]) in the sense that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \liminf_{t\to T(\Phi_0)} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\{x^2+y^2<\epsilon^2\})} = \infty,$$

which implies (6.4). □

REMARK 16. The above results are obviously valid for the domains D^2_ϵ and D^2_0: one must simply replace λ by $-\lambda$.

Finally, one may consider the problems

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Box u + \lambda|u|^\sigma u = 0, & u = u(t,x,y), (x,y) \in D_\epsilon = D^1_\epsilon \cup D^2_\epsilon \\ u(0,x,y) = u_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in D_\epsilon \\ u(t,x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \partial D_\epsilon,\ t \in [0,T) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Box u + \lambda|u|^\sigma u = 0, & u = u(t,x,y), (x,y) \in D^1 = D^1_0 \cup D^2_0 \\ u(0,x,y) = u_0(x,y), & (x,y) \in D^1 \end{cases}$$

and build L^∞ solutions by gluing solutions on regions D^1_ϵ and D^2_ϵ (resp. D^1_0 and D^2_0). Over D_ϵ (resp. D_0), in the case $\sigma < 4$ (resp. $\sigma < 2$), the solutions are always global. Otherwise, we note that the sign of λ is not sufficient to guarantee global existence: if $\lambda > 0$, then the equation is focusing on regions D^1_0 and D^1_ϵ; if $\lambda < 0$, then it is focusing on D^2_0 and D^2_ϵ. In either case, one may observe finite-time blow-up.

REMARK 17. One may pose the following question: over D_0, fix a continuous initial data u_0 with hyperbolic symmetry such that the radial (NLS) counterpart, $\tilde{u}_0$, is in H^1. Is it possible to build a solution u with initial data u_0 verifying the same properties? The answer is, in general, negative:

take Q to be the positive radial ground-state of (NLS) in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and consider the pseudo-conformal transform of $V = e^{it}Q$,

$$W(t,x,y) = (1-t)^{-1} V\left(\frac{t}{1-t}, \frac{x}{1-t}, \frac{y}{1-t}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4(1-t)}\right).$$

One easily checks that W is radial, $W(0,0,0) = V(0,0,0) = Q(0)$ and $|W(t,0,0)| = (1-t)^{-1}|V(t,0,0)| = (1-t)^{-1}Q(0,0)$.

Fix any radial initial data $v_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such $v_0(0) = Q(0)$. Consider the hyperbolic symmetric initial data

$$u_0^1(x,y) = \begin{cases} Q(\sqrt{x^2-y^2}), & x^2-y^2 > 0 \\ v_0(\sqrt{y^2-x^2}), & y^2-x^2 > 0 \end{cases}, \quad u_2^0(x,y) = \begin{cases} W(0,\sqrt{x^2-y^2}), & x^2-y^2 > 0 \\ v_0(\sqrt{y^2-x^2}), & y^2-x^2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the result was valid for both initial data. Then the solution v of (NLS) with initial data v_0 would have to satisfy $|v(t,0)| = |Q(0)|$ and also $|v(t,0)| = (1-t)^{-1}|Q(0)|$ for any t close to 0, which is clearly impossible.

7 Spatial standing waves

One of the ways to overcome the presence of a negative direction is to search for solutions of the (HNLS) of the form $u(t,x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\omega x}\phi(t,\mathbf{y})$, (somehow in analogy to the usual notion of bound-state). Inserting this expression into the equation,

$$i\phi_t - \omega^2\phi - \Delta_{\mathbf{y}}\phi + \lambda|u|^\sigma u = 0.$$

Setting $v(t,\mathbf{y}) = e^{-i\omega^2 t}\phi(-t,\mathbf{y})$, one arrives to

$$iv_t + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} v - \lambda|v|^\sigma v = 0.$$

which is the (NLS) in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Consider the initial value problem

$$iv_t + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}} v - \lambda|v|^\sigma v = 0, v(0,\mathbf{y}) = v_0(\mathbf{y}) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}).$$

As it is well-known,

1. for $\lambda > 0$ or $\sigma < 4/(d-1)$, one has global existence of solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$;
2. for $\lambda < 0$ and $\sigma > 4/(d-1)$, initial data $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}, |\mathbf{y}|^2 d\mathbf{y})$ with negative energy blows up in finite time.

7.1 Local existence and stability

As in section 5.1, one may build a local well-posedness theory to include both H^1 solutions and spatial standing waves. Set $k = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$. If one defines

$$Y_\omega = \left\{\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) : \phi(x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\omega x} f(\mathbf{y}) \ a.e.\right\},$$

$$Y'_\omega = \left\{\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists f \in H^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) : \phi(x,\mathbf{y}) = e^{i\omega x} f(\mathbf{y}) \ a.e.\right\}$$

and set $F = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus Y_\omega$ and $F' = H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus Y'_\omega$, then one has the following

Proposition 10. *Let $0 < \sigma < 4/(d-2)^+$. For every $u_0 \in F$, there exists $T(u_0) > 0$ and a unique solution of (1.1) $u \in C([0, T(u_0)), F) \cap C^1((0,T), F')$ which depends continuously on u_0. Also, the blow-up condition holds in the sense that*

$$\lim_{t \to T(u_0)} \|u(t)\|_F = \infty, \quad \text{if } T(u_0) < \infty.$$

Moreover, one may also derive H^1-stability for spatial standing waves, in a completely analogous fashion:

Theorem 11. *Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$, and set $\sigma = 4$, for $d = 2$, $\sigma = 2$ for $d = 3$. Given $\phi_0 \in Y_\omega$, suppose that its profile f_0 satisfies $f_0 \in L^2(|\boldsymbol{y}|^2 d\boldsymbol{y}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Let ϕ be the spatial standing wave with initial data ϕ_0. If either*

1. *$d = 2$;*
2. *$d = 3$, $f_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\|f_0\|_{H^3}$ small;*

then ϕ is H^1-stable, i.e.,

$$\forall \delta > 0 \ \exists \epsilon > 0 \ \|v_0\|_{H^1} < \epsilon \Rightarrow \|u - \phi\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} < \delta,$$

where u is the (global) solution of (HNLS) in F with initial data $v_0 + \phi_0$.

Remark 18. Spatial standing waves are solutions which lie on $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1})$, and so one could simply try to extend the (NLS) results over this space (see, for example, [18]). We find our approach more interesting for its novelty and because it allows to understand the effect of H^1 perturbations on these special solutions.

8 Futher comments

Let us summarize some interesting questions that rise from this work:

1. The H^1 framework may not be suited for some physical models. The question then is: what is a suited framework? The spaces E and F, built from some classes of solutions, indicate that a local well-posedness theory may be presented in such a way that it includes functions without decay at infinity. Even in a mathematical perspective, the "bound-state" solutions built in [13] and [14] do not lie in H^1. It would be interesting to find local-wellposednes on more general spaces, which do not demand decay at infinity.

2. A blow-up solution in the H^1 framework is yet to be found. Our solutions never possess sufficient decay to assure integrability. An example of blow-up would be of extreme importance.

3. The construction of spaces E and F has a great capacity of generalization: let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the class of functions which have a particular shape. For a given equation, which is locally well-posed in $\mathcal{X}$, suppose that one has a class of solutions in $\mathcal{Z}$. This will imply that the profile of these solutions verifies a reduced equation, for which one may have local existence over some space $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{X} = \varnothing$, then one should be able to prove local well-posedness on

   $$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \{u \in \mathcal{Z} : \text{ the profile of } u \text{ is in } \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

   Furthermore, this allows one to obtain a suitable functional framework to study the effect of $\mathcal{X}$-perturbations on solutions in $\mathcal{Z}$.

9 Acknowledgements

Mário Figueira was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, through the grant UID/MAT/04561/2013. Simão Correia was also supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, through the grants SFRH/BD/96399/2013 and UID/MAT/04561/2013. The authors are indebted to Rémi Carles for having called our attetion to this problem.

A Appendix

Recall the definition of X'_c:

$$X'_c = \left\{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : u(x,\mathbf{y}) = f(x - c\cdot\mathbf{y}) \ a.e.\right\}.$$

We note that this is a correct definition: if $f, \tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ differ in a zero-measure set, then

$$g(x,\mathbf{y}) = f(x - c\cdot\mathbf{y}), \quad \tilde{g}(x,\mathbf{y}) = \tilde{f}(x - c\cdot\mathbf{y})$$

differ also in a zero-measure set (for the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$). For a fixed $h \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, define the translation operator $T_h : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(T^c_h u)(x,\mathbf{y}) := u(x + c\cdot h, \mathbf{y} + h) \ a.e. \ (x,\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

If $\phi \in X'_c$, then

$$\phi(x,\mathbf{y}) = f(x - c\cdot\mathbf{y}) = f((x + c\cdot h) - c\cdot(\mathbf{y} + h)) = (T_h\phi)(x,\mathbf{y}), \ a.e. \ (x,\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

and therefore $T^c_h\phi = \phi$, $\forall\phi \in X'_c$.

Lemma 12. *One has $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap X'_c = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Take $w \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap X'_c$. Then there exist $v_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 0, ..., d$, such that

$$w = v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (v_i)_{x_i}$$

and, for any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open,

$$\|w\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d} \int_\Omega |v_i|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Take $\Omega = \{(x,\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < 1\}$. Fix $h \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that $c\cdot h = 1$. Using the fact that $T^c_h w = w$, one has

$$\|w\|^2_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_i|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_\Omega |T^c_{2mh} v_i|^2 = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|T^c_{2mh} w\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|w\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore one must have $\|w\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} = 0$ and so $\|w\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$. □

Lemma 13. *For any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\backslash\{0\}$, $(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus X_{c_1}) \cap X_{c_2} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Take $z \in (H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus X_{c_1}) \cap X_{c_2}$. We write $z = w + \phi_1$, with $w \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi_1 \in X_{c_1}$. Fix $h \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Then

$$T^{c_2}_h z = z, \ i.e., \ w - T^{c_2}_h w = -\phi_1 + T^{c_2}_h \phi_1.$$

The r.h.s. is in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, while the l.h.s. is in X_{c_1}. Therefore both sides are equal to 0:

$$w = T^{c_2}_h w, \ \phi_1 = T^{c_2}_h \phi_1.$$

One now concludes that $w, \phi_1 = 0$ as in the previous proof. □

References

[1] N. Burq, P. Gérard, N. Tzvetkov, *On nonlinear Schrödinger equations in exterior domains*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 21, No. 3, 295-318 (2004)

[2] R. Carles, *Critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations with and without harmonic potential*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 12, no. 10, 1513–1523 (2002)

[3] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences (2003)

[4] T. Cazenave, F. Weissler, *Rapidly decaying solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Commun. Math. Phys. 147, No.1, 75-100 (1992)

[5] C. Conti, S. Trillo, *Nonlinear X-Waves*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2008)

[6] D. Fujiwara, *A construction of the fundamental solution for the Schrödinger equation*, J. Analyse Math. 35, 41-96 (1979)

[7] D. Fujiwara, *Remarks on the convergence of Feynman path integrals*, Duke Math J. 47, no. 3, 413-449 (1980)

[8] J.-M. Ghidaglia, J.-C. Saut, *Nonelliptic Schrödinger equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. 3, no. 2, 169–195 (1993)

[9] J.-M. Ghidaglia, J.-C. Saut, *Nonexistence of travelling wave solutions to nonelliptic nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. 6, No.2, 139-145 (1996)

[10] N. Godet, N. Tzvetkov, *Strichartz estimates for the periodic non-elliptic Schrödinger equation*, C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris 350, No. 21-22, 955-958 (2012)

[11] T. Kato, *On nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théor. 46, 113-129 (1987)

[12] O. Kavian, *A remark on the blowing-up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonsingular Schrödinger equations*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 299, 193-203 (1987)

[13] P. Kevrekidis, A. Nahmod, C. Zeng, *Radial standing and self-similar waves for the hyperbolic cubic NLS in 2D*, Nonlinearity 24, No. 5, 1523-1538 (2011)

[14] N. Lu, *Non-localized standing waves of the hyperbolic cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35, no. 8, 3533–3567 (2015)

[15] F. Merle, Y. Tsutsumi, *L^2 concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity*, J. Differ. Equations 84, No.2, 205-214 (1990)

[16] O. S. Rozanova, *Hydrodynamic approach to constructing solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical case*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 133, No. 8, 2347-2358 (2005)

[17] C. Sulem, J.P. Sulem, *Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations: Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 139, Springer (1999)

[18] H. Takaoka, N. Tzvetkov, *On 2D nonlinear Schrödinger equations with data on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$*, J. Funct. Anal. 182, No.2, 427-442 (2001)

[19] T. Tao, *A pseudoconformal compactification of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and applications*, New York J. Math. 15, 265-282, electronic only (2009)

[20] L. Vuillon, D. Dutykh, F. Fedele, *Some special solutions to the Hyperbolic NLS equation*, HAL Id: hal-00846801 (2014)

Simão Correia
CMAF-CIO and FCUL
Campo Grande, Edifício C6, Piso 2, 1749-016 Lisboa (Portugal)
sfcorreia@fc.ul.pt

Mário Figueira
CMAF-CIO and FCUL
Campo Grande, Edifício C6, Piso 2, 1749-016 Lisboa (Portugal)
msfigueira@fc.ul.pt